Question: Is it true that Hadrat 'Umar radiyallāhu 'anhu promulgated the punishment for fornication on his son, Abū Shahmah, and that he died with the last lash as related in Tanqīh al-Qaul fī Sharh Lubāb al-Hadīth of Nawawī rahimahullāh?

Answer: The Hadīth experts do not consider this story to be authentic. In fact, it is fabricated.

Hāfiz Ibn Hajar rahimahullāh refers to this narration as false in al-Isābah. It is learnt from the statements of the scholars that its chain contains 'Abd al-'Azīz ibn al-Hajjāj and Muhammad ibn 'Alī ibn 'Umar al-Mudhakkir both of whom are unknown and discarded [as narrators].

'Allāmah Suyūtī rahimahullāh labels this narration fabricated in al-La'ālī al-Masnū'ah:

However, the correct story is that he consumed nabīdh after which he personally presented himself before Hadrat 'Amr ibn al-'Ās radiyallāhu 'anhu, the governor of Egypt, to mete out the punishment to him who then meted it out to him inside his house. Hadrat 'Umar radiyallāhu 'anhu reprimanded him [for meting out the punishment in private] and when his son returned to Madīnah, he meted out the punishment a second time to him. His son then fell ill and passed away after one month. This is related in Fath al-Bārī as follows:

Al-La'ālī al-Masnū'ah also relates this incident as follows:

.0000 000 000 0000 0000 0000 000 000

It is learnt from reliable sources that Hadrat 'Umar radiyallāhu 'anhu lashed him in order to discipline him. He lived for a month thereafter, fell ill and passed away. It is wrong to say that he died from the last lash – this is a fabrication.

'Allāmah Taqī ad-Dīn Ahmad ibn 'Alī al-Maqrīzī (d. 845 A.H.) rahimahullāh makes mention of this:

The fact that Hadrat 'Umar radiyallāhu 'anhu punished him as a way of disciplining him and his passing away after a month is related in the following books:

.00000 000000000 01424 :0000000 00000 018122 :00000000 000000 01707 :000000 000

Allāh ta'ālā knows best.